## Value Function Learning for AutoRally Racing Nolan Wagener, Panagiotis Tsiotras, Byron Boots

- This formalizes value learning approaches which rely on MPC to generate the value function targets.
- We show incorporating a value function can improve racing performance of simulated AutoRally car

Given MDP  $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U}, p, c, \gamma)$ 

- $\mathcal{X}$ : state space  $\bullet$
- $\mathcal{U}$ : control space  $\bullet$
- $p(x_{t+1}|x_t, u_t)$ : transition probability
- $c(x_t, u_t, x_{t+1})$ : cost function
- $\gamma$ : discount factor

RL problem: Find policy  $\pi(u_t|x_t)$  that minimizes sum of accumulated costs while having high entropy (i.e., noisiness).

$$\min_{\pi} \mathbb{E}_{\pi,p} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t (c(x_t, u_t, x_{t+1}) - \lambda)$$

Solving this problem may be too difficult, so we form a relaxed RL problem that is potentially easier.

- Cost function encodes that car minimizes lap time while not crashing
- Control algorithm: MPPI used in receding horizon
  - We vary planning horizon and whether we use value function as terminal cost
- Value function is a 2-layer neural network trained with an optimization horizon of 0.5 seconds
- Value function can improve performance and even stabilize car in case of short planning horizon

## Summary

• We reframe model predictive control (MPC) as a reinforcement learning (RL) approach where each decision is a control sequence.

## Model Predictive Control as Reinforcement Learning $\lambda \mathcal{H}\big(\pi(u_t|x_t)\big))$ Optimal value function $\tilde{V}^*$ satisfies the recurrence predictive path integral (MPPI) distribution **Simulated Results**



Planning horizon = 0.5 seconds No value function used Lap time: 12.56 ± 1.90 s 5 crashes in 5 trials

Planning horizon = 0.5 seconds Value function used Lap time: 6.43 ± 0.29 s 2 crashes in 5 trials



We instead work with a policy  $\tilde{\pi}(u_t, \dots, u_{t+H-1}|x_t)$  that gives feedback every H steps. The corresponding MDP is  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = (\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}, \widetilde{p}, \widetilde{c}, \widetilde{\gamma})$ . •  $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{X}$ ,  $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{U}^H$ ,  $\widetilde{\gamma} = \gamma^H$ ,  $\widetilde{\lambda} = \gamma^{H-1}\lambda$ •  $\tilde{p}(\tilde{x}_{k+1}|\tilde{u}_k,\tilde{x}_k) = \int \prod_{h=0}^{H-1} p(x_{kH+h+1}|x_{kH+h},u_{kH+h}) dx_{kH+(1:H-1)}$ •  $\tilde{c}(\tilde{x}_k, \tilde{u}_k, \tilde{x}_{k+1}) = \mathbb{E}_{x_{kH+(1:H-1)}|x_{kH}, u_{kH}, x_{kH+H}} \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \gamma^h c_{kH+h}$ The following RL problem is an upper bound of the original one:  $\min_{\widetilde{\pi}} \mathbb{E}_{\widetilde{\pi},\widetilde{p}} \sum_{k=0} \widetilde{\gamma}^k (\widetilde{c}(\widetilde{x}_k, \widetilde{u}_k, \widetilde{x}_{k+1}) - \widetilde{\lambda} \mathcal{H}(\widetilde{\pi}(\widetilde{u}_k | \widetilde{x}_k)))$  $\tilde{V}^*(\tilde{x}) = -\tilde{\lambda} \log \int \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}} \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{p}(\tilde{x}'|\tilde{x},\tilde{u})}[\tilde{c}(\tilde{x},\tilde{u},\tilde{x}') + \tilde{\gamma}\tilde{V}^*(\tilde{x}')]\right) d\tilde{u}$ This recurrence can used to train an approximator of the optimal value function.

+ Can get accelerated convergence of the value function Value function targets can be efficiently estimated with algorithms like model

Some performance degradation since we represent policy as *H*-step open loop



Planning horizon = 1 second No value function used Lap time:  $7.28 \pm 0.42$  s 0 crashes in 5 trials



Planning horizon = 1 second Value function used Lap time:  $6.50 \pm 0.22$  s 0 crashes in 5 trials